

BAB V

KESIMPULAN DAN SARAN

V.1 Kesimpulan

Berdasarkan hasil penelitian dan pembahasan yang telah diuraikan, dapat ditarik kesimpulan sebagai berikut.

1. Karakteristik spasial jaringan rute eksisting BST Kota Surakarta menunjukkan pola yang terkonsentrasi di koridor utama kota dengan tingkat redundansi layanan yang tinggi. K3 memiliki panjang rute 18,552 km dengan cakupan populasi 120.849 jiwa dan aksesibilitas fasilitas 121 unit, namun nilai *overlap* mencapai 9,563 km² atau 96,34% dari total area layanannya mengindikasikan bahwa hampir seluruh area layanan K3 sudah dilayani koridor lain. K4 memiliki panjang rute 21,155 km dengan cakupan populasi 86.858 jiwa dan aksesibilitas fasilitas 72 unit, dengan nilai *overlap* 6,147 km² atau 66,55% dari total area layanannya. Kondisi ini diperkuat oleh *load factor* K3 dan K4 yang masing-masing tercatat 0,4921 dan 0,3637 pada Juni 2025 keduanya jauh di bawah standar minimum 0,70.
2. Pembobotan kriteria spasial menggunakan AHP menghasilkan bobot $W = (0,0750; 0,4072; 0,4394; 0,0784)$ untuk kriteria C1 hingga C4 dengan nilai *Consistency Ratio* sebesar 0,0048 yang jauh di bawah ambang batas 0,10. Dominasi bobot pada C3 aksesibilitas fasilitas (0,4394) dan C2 cakupan populasi (0,4072) mencerminkan bahwa para ahli transportasi Kota Surakarta memprioritaskan peningkatan jangkauan layanan kepada masyarakat dibanding minimalisasi jarak dan pengurangan *overlap*. Evaluasi kinerja rute eksisting menggunakan *Weighted Sum Model* (WSM) dengan bobot AHP yang sama menghasilkan skor K3 = 0,9720 dan K4 = 0,6983, yang menjadi *baseline* perbandingan terhadap rute optimal.
3. Algoritma ACO dengan parameter optimal S5 ($\alpha=1,0$, $\beta=3,5$, $\rho=0,15$) berhasil menghasilkan rute optimal K3 dan K4 yang menunjukkan peningkatan kinerja dibanding rute eksisting. K3 Berangkat meningkat dari fitness 0,4643 menjadi 0,5381 (+15,9%) melalui penambahan 5 halte baru dari K12, K3 Pulang meningkat dari 0,4519 menjadi 0,4960 (+9,8%) melalui penambahan 1 halte baru dari K2, dan K4 meningkat dari 0,4262 menjadi 0,5252 (+23,2%) melalui penambahan 1 halte baru dari K7. Secara spasial,

rute optimal K3 meningkatkan cakupan populasi sebesar +6,1% dan aksesibilitas fasilitas sebesar +7,4%, sedangkan rute optimal K4 berhasil mengurangi overlap sebesar -1,5% meskipun cakupan populasi dan fasilitas sedikit menurun akibat karakteristik geografis koridor. Evaluasi WSM mengkonfirmasi peningkatan tersebut; skor rute optimal K3 meningkat menjadi 1,0213 (+5,07%) sedangkan K4 mengalami penurunan marginal menjadi 0,6942 (-0,59%).

V.2 Saran

Berdasarkan hasil penelitian ini, beberapa saran dirumuskan sebagai berikut.

1. Hasil optimasi rute ini perlu dikomunikasikan kepada Dinas Perhubungan Kota Surakarta, PT Bengawan Solo Trans, dan PT Transportasi Global Mandiri sebagai bahan pertimbangan dalam evaluasi jaringan BST, mengingat peningkatan cakupan layanan yang dihasilkan berpotensi mendorong peningkatan penumpang secara bertahap.
2. Peningkatan *load factor* BST tidak dapat dicapai hanya melalui optimasi rute, sehingga perlu diimbangi dengan strategi peningkatan permintaan seperti integrasi moda, penguatan sistem informasi penumpang, dan kebijakan pembatasan kendaraan pribadi di kawasan yang dilayani BST.
3. Implementasi rute optimal K3 Berangkat perlu mempertimbangkan secara cermat catatan teknis dari validator ahli, yaitu: (1) segmen Jalan Saman Hudi (halte id=5, 6, 7, 8) dengan lebar jalan 6,8–7 meter yang tergolong sempit untuk operasional bus sedang BST, dan (2) halte id=15 yang tidak sesuai dengan konsep *directness* rute dan tidak tersedia ruang U-turn yang memadai. Untuk rute optimal K3 Pulang dan K4, perlu dilakukan kajian ulang terhadap segmen-segmen yang belum sepenuhnya memenuhi konsep *directness* sebelum diimplementasikan secara operasional.
4. Penelitian lanjutan disarankan mengintegrasikan parameter teknis operasional yang lebih komprehensif dalam fungsi optimasi, mencakup indeks *directness* rute, lebar dan kapasitas infrastruktur jalan, serta kemampuan manuver kendaraan sebagai *constraint* tambahan, sehingga rute yang dihasilkan tidak hanya optimal secara multi-kriteria spasial tetapi

juga layak secara teknis untuk diimplementasikan langsung oleh operator BST.

5. Penelitian lanjutan disarankan menggunakan data perjalanan aktual penumpang BST sebagai pengganti pendekatan cakupan spasial populasi, sehingga fungsi tujuan optimasi dapat lebih akurat mencerminkan pola pergerakan nyata pengguna.
6. Pengembangan model optimasi pada penelitian selanjutnya dapat mempertimbangkan optimasi jaringan BST secara keseluruhan (seluruh 10 koridor) secara simultan, bukan per koridor secara independen, agar solusi yang dihasilkan optimal secara jaringan dan mempertimbangkan interaksi antar koridor melalui perubahan pola overlap dan cakupan layanan.
7. Pengembangan model optimasi pada penelitian selanjutnya dapat mempertimbangkan penggunaan varian ACO lainnya seperti *Max-Min Ant System* (MMAS), *Ant Colony System* (ACS), atau *Rank-Based Ant System* (RAS) yang memiliki mekanisme eksplorasi dan eksploitasi berbeda dibanding *Ant System* (AS) yang digunakan dalam penelitian ini, sehingga dapat dibandingkan kualitas solusi dan efisiensi komputasinya dalam konteks optimasi rute angkutan umum.

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abdulghani, B. A., & Abdulghani, M. A. (2024). A Comprehensive Review of Ant Colony Optimization in Swarm Intelligence for Complex Problem Solving. *Acadlore Transactions on AI and Machine Learning*, 3(4), 214–224. <https://doi.org/10.56578/ataiml030403>
- Ahmadi, A. (2020). Transit Network Design Using GIS and Ant Colony Optimization in Sanandaj. *Journal of Geographic Information System*, 12(06), 646–662. <https://doi.org/10.4236/jgis.2020.126037>
- Ahmed, L., Mumford, C., & Kheiri, A. (2019). Solving urban transit route design problem using selection hyper-heuristics. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 274(2), 545–559. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EJOR.2018.10.022>
- Alamri, S., Adhinugraha, K., Allheeb, N., & Taniar, D. (2023). GIS Analysis of Adequate Accessibility to Public Transportation in Metropolitan Areas. *ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information*, 12(5). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijgi12050180>
- Awadallah, M. A., Makhadmeh, S. N., Al-Betar, M. A., Dalbah, L. M., Al-Redhaei, A., Kouka, S., & Enshassi, O. S. (2025). Multi-objective Ant Colony Optimization: Review. *Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering*, 32(2), 995–1037. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11831-024-10178-4>
- Awahah, R. A., Widodo, J., & Radjikan, R. (2024). Implementasi Kebijakan Penyelenggaraan Angkutan Orang Di Jalan Dengan Kendaraan Umum Sebagai Upaya Mengurangi Kemacetan Di Kota Surabaya Jawa Timur. *Birokrasi: JURNAL ILMU HUKUM DAN TATA NEGARA*, 2(3), 62–72. <https://doi.org/10.55606/birokrasi.v2i3.1300>
- Azhali, F. M., & Widyastuti, H. (2023). Microbus Stop Optimization Based On Gis For Bus Feeder On The Gunung Anyar-Kenjeran Route. *Journal of Civil Engineering*, 38(1).
- Bertsimas, D., Ng, Y. S., & Yan, J. (2021). Data-driven transit network design at scale. *Operations Research*, 69(4), 1118–1133. <https://doi.org/10.1287/opre.2020.2057>
- Broniewicz, E., & Ogrodnik, K. (2025). Application Potential of MCDM/MCDA Methods in Transport—Literature Review and Case Study. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 17(17). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17177671>

- Cahyono, T. W. (2025). *Perubahan Jam Operasional BST di Tahun 2025*.
<https://radarsolo.jawapos.com/solo/2501020011/catat-perubahan-jam-operasional-bst-di-2025-biar-enggak-kecele-hanya-3-koridor-yang-beroperasi-malam>
- Cervero, R. (2000). *Informal Transport in the Developing World*.
- Chandran, A., & Roy, P. (2024). Applications of geographical information system and spatial analysis in Indian health research: a systematic review. *BMC Health Services Research*, 24(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-024-11837-9>
- Charles, A. C., Armstrong, A., Nnamdi, O. C., Innocent, M. T., Obiageri, N. J., Begianpuye, A. F., & Timothy, E. E. (2024). Review of Spatial Analysis as a Geographic Information Management Tool. *American Journal of Engineering and Technology Management*.
<https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ajetm.20240901.12>
- Chatrabhuj, Meshram, K., Mishra, U., & Omar, P. J. (2024). Integration of remote sensing data and GIS technologies in river management system. *Discover Geoscience*, 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44288-024-00080-8>
- Chauhan, A. (2025). Evaluation of Public Transport Accessibility and Its Impact on Urban Mobility. *International Journal of Engineering & Science Research*, 15(4), 276–290.
<https://www.ijesr.org/index.php/ijesr/article/view/1406>
- Deb, K. (2001). *Multi-Objective Optimization using Evolutionary Algorithms*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Dinas Perhubungan Kota Surakarta. (2026). *Data kinerja operasional Batik Solo Trans periode Januari 2026*. Pemerintah Kota Surakarta.
- Djenie, D. R. K. (2024). *Mengevaluasi Sebaran dan Jangkauan Transportasi BST (Batik Solo Trans) dalam Rencana Pembangunan Kota Surakarta 2025-2045*. MAPID. <https://mapid.co.id/blog/mengevaluasi-sebaran-dan-jangkauan-transportasi-bst-batik-solo-trans-dalam-rencana-pembangunan-kota-surakarta-20252045>
- Dorigo, M., & Stützle, T. (2004). *Ant Colony Optimization*. MIT Press. Cambridge, MA.

- Duleba, S., Shimazaki, Y., & Mishina, T. (2013). An analysis on the connections of factors in a public transport system by AHP-ISM. *Transport*, 28(4), 404–412. <https://doi.org/10.3846/16484142.2013.867282>
- Durán-Micco, J., & Vansteenwegen, P. (2022). A survey on the transit network design and frequency setting problem. *Public Transport*, 14(1), 155–190. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12469-021-00284-y>
- Gao, X., Liu, S., Jiang, S., Yu, D., Peng, Y., Ma, X., & Lin, W. (2024). Optimizing the Three-Dimensional Multi-Objective of Feeder Bus Routes Considering the Timetable. *Mathematics 2024, Vol. 12, Page 930, 12(7)*, 930. <https://doi.org/10.3390/MATH12070930>
- Goss, S., Aron, S., Deneubourg, J. L., & Pasteels, J. M. (1989). Self-organized shortcuts in the Argentine ant. *Naturwissenschaften*, 76(12), 579–581. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00462870>
- Hallowell, M. R., & Gambatese, J. A. (2010). *Qualitative Research: Application of the Delphi Method to CEM Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1061/ASCECO.1943-7862.0000137>
- Hamurcu, M., & Eren, T. (2020). Strategic planning based on sustainability for urban transportation: An application to decision-making. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 12(9). <https://doi.org/10.3390/SU12093589>
- Hardi, A. Z., & Murad, A. K. A. (2023). Spatial Analysis of Accessibility for Public Transportation, A Case Study in Jakarta, Bus Rapid Transit System (Transjakarta), Indonesia. *Journal of Computer Science*, 19(10), 1190–1202. <https://doi.org/10.3844/jcssp.2023.1190.1202>
- Harris, M. O. M. (2025). Public Transport Integration as a Strategy to Reduce Emissions in Jakarta. *Siber Journal of Transportation and Logistics*, 3(2), 51–56. <https://doi.org/10.38035/sjtl.v3i2.493>
- Hörcher, D., & Tirachini, A. (2021). A review of public transport economics. *Economics of Transportation*, 25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecotra.2021.100196>
- Hwang 1929-, C. L. (Ching-L., & Masud 1947-, A. S. M. (1979). *Multiple objective decision making, methods and applications: a state-of-the-art survey*. Berlin; New York: Springer-Verlag. <https://searchworks.stanford.edu/view/738890>

- Hwang, C.-L., & Yoon, K. (1981). Multiple Attribute Decision Making: Methods and Applications, a State-of-the-Art Survey. In *Multiple Attribute Decision Making* (Vol. 186). Springer.
- Ibarra-Rojas, O. J., Delgado, F., Giesen, R., & Muñoz, J. C. (2015). Planning, operation, and control of bus transport systems: A literature review. *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological*, *77*, 38–75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TRB.2015.03.002>
- Indi, N., & Putri, P. (2022). Pengaruh Transportasi Umum Terhadap Perkembangan Antar Wilayah Administrasi di Jakarta. *Jurnal Manajemen Bisnis Transportasi Dan Logistik*, *8*(1). <https://journal.itltrisakti.ac.id/index.php/jmtbtl>
- Injac, Z., & Drašković, D. (2024). Classification of vehicle routing problem. *JTTTP -Journal Of Traffic And Transport Theory And Practice*, *12*(1). <https://doi.org/10.7251/jtttp2401036i>
- ITDP. (2024). *The Bus Rapid Transit Standard*. <https://itdp.org/library/standards-and-guides/the-bus-rapid-transit-standard/>
- Kompas.com. (2026). *Batik Solo Trans Setop Koridor 6 dan 11*. <https://otomotif.kompas.com/read/2026/01/02/181200515/batik-solo-trans-setop-koridor-6-dan-11-ini-rute-penggantinya>
- Korzeń, M., & Kruszyna, M. (2025). Public Transport Planning Using Modified Ant Colony Optimization. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *17*(6). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17062468>
- Le Pira, M., Ignaccolo, M., Inturri, G., Pluchino, A., & Rapisarda, A. (2016). Modelling stakeholder participation in transport planning. *Case Studies on Transport Policy*, *4*(3), 230–238. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cstp.2016.06.002>
- Li, J., & Wei, B. (2020). Urban-Rural Bus Path Planning Based on Ant Colony Optimization Algorithm. *International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences - ISPRS Archives*, *42*(3/W10), 451–456. <https://doi.org/10.5194/isprs-archives-XLII-3-W10-451-2020>

- Lin, F., & Hsieh, H. P. (2022). Multicriteria Route Planning for In-Operation Mass Transit under Urban Data. *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)*, *12*(6). <https://doi.org/10.3390/app12063127>
- M. Viana, C., Boavida-Portugal, I., Gomes, E., & Rocha, J. (2023). Introductory Chapter: GIS and Spatial Analysis. In *GIS and Spatial Analysis*. IntechOpen. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.111735>
- Mchome, E. E., & Nzoya, U. W. (2023). Users' Perception on Operation and Performance of Public Transport Systems in African Developing Countries: The Case of Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) in Dar es Salaam City, Tanzania. *Open Journal of Applied Sciences*, *13*(12), 2408–2420. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ojapps.2023.1312188>
- Moslem, S., Ghorbanzadeh, O., Blaschke, T., & Duleba, S. (2019). Analysing Stakeholder Consensus for a Sustainable Transport Development Decision by the Fuzzy AHP and Interval AHP. *Sustainability*, *11*(12), 3271. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11123271>
- Ng, M. T. M., Mahmassani, H. S., Verbas, Ö., Cokyasar, T., & Engelhardt, R. (2024). Redesigning large-scale multimodal transit networks with shared autonomous mobility services. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, *168*, 104575. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TRC.2024.104575>
- Nielsen, P., Dahanayaka, M., Perera, H. N., Thibbotuwawa, A., & Kilic, D. K. (2024). A systematic review of vehicle routing problems and models in multi-echelon distribution networks. In *Supply Chain Analytics* (Vol. 7). Elsevier B.V. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sca.2024.100072>
- Nsaif, B. S., Hassan, N. D., & Hasan, Q. F. (2023). Synergizing Geographic Information Systems (GIS) And Multicriteria Decision Making Analysis (MCDA) For Public Transit Network Optimization: A Review. *Scholar's Digest-Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies*, *02*(12).
- Nugroho, W., Rahayu, P., & Istanabi, T. (2022). Transportasi Umum Sebagai Pendukung Mobilitas Siswa: Studi Kasus Batik Solo Trans Di Kota Surakarta. *Desa-Kota: Jurnal Perencanaan Wilayah, Kota, Dan Permukiman*, *4*(1), 116–127. <https://doi.org/10.20961/DESA-KOTA.V4I1.48009.116-127>

- Ostrowski, K., Starzec, M., & Starzec, G. (2024). The Ant Colony Optimization Algorithm Applied In Transport Logistic. *Computer Science*, 25(3). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.7494/csci.2024.25.3.6360>
- Othman, W. A. F., Abd Wahab, A. A., Alhady, S. S., & Wong, H. N. (2018). Solving Vehicle Routing Problem using Ant Colony Optimisation (ACO) Algorithm. *International Journal of Research and Engineering*, 5(9), 500–507. <https://doi.org/10.21276/IJRE.2018.5.9.2>
- Peraturan Presiden Republik Indonesia Nomor 59 Tahun 2017 Tentang Pelaksanaan Pencapaian Tujuan Pembangunan Berkelanjutan, Pub. L. 59 (2017).
- Prado-Rodríguez, R., González, P., Banga, J. R., & Doallo, R. (2024). Improved cooperative Ant Colony Optimization for the solution of binary combinatorial optimization applications. *Expert Systems*, 41(8). <https://doi.org/10.1111/exsy.13554>
- Prahasta, E. (2009). *Sistem Informasi Geografis: Konsep-konsep Dasar (Perspektif Geodesi & Geomatika)*. Informatika.
- Primastuti, A. N. (2023). *Analisis Aksesibilitas Shelter Trans Semarang*. Universitas Islam Sultan Agung.
- Qureshi, A. M., & Rachid, A. (2022). Comparative Analysis of Multi-Criteria Decision-Making Techniques for Outdoor Heat Stress Mitigation. *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)*, 12(23). <https://doi.org/10.3390/app122312308>
- Rahman, A. A., Zamzuri, A., & Rashidan, H. (2025). 3D Geoinformation - Then and Now. *International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences - ISPRS Archives*, 48(M-6-2025), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.5194/isprs-archives-XLVIII-M-6-2025-1-2025>
- Rahmayanti, D., Meuthia, Y., Albin, J., & Hafizh, A. (2021). An integrated AHP-TOPSIS framework for determination of leading industrial sectors. *Jurnal Sistem Dan Manajemen Industri*, 5(2), 115–124. <https://doi.org/10.30656/jsmi.v5i2.3823>
- Saaty, T. L. (1980). The Analytic Hierarchy Process: Planning, Priority Setting, Resource Allocation. In *European Journal of Operational Research* (Vol. 9, Number 1). McGraw-Hill. <https://search.worldcat.org/title/5352839>

- Saaty, T. L. (2008). Decision making with the analytic hierarchy process. *International Journal of Services Sciences*, 1(1), 83. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJSSCI.2008.017590>
- Saaty, T. L., & Ozdemir, M. S. (2003). Why the Magic Number Seven Plus or Minus Two. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, 38, 233–244. www.elsevier.com/locate/mcm
- Saaty, T., & Vargas, L. (2012). Models, methods, concepts & applications of the analytic hierarchy process. In ... *-Driven Demand and Operations Management Models*. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-3597-6>
- Shiddiqi, A. A. A., Sutjiningsih, D., Tjahjono, T., Darmajanti, L., & Suprayoga, G. B. (2024). Modal Shift in Public Transport Under Fiscal-Based Policies Scenarios for Jakarta. *International Journal of Technology*, 15(6), 1862. <https://doi.org/10.14716/ijtech.v15i6.5723>
- Stodola, P. (2020). Hybrid ant colony optimization algorithm applied to the multi-depot vehicle routing problem. *Natural Computing*, 19(2), 463–475. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11047-020-09783-6>
- Taherdoost, H., & Madanchian, M. (2023). Multi-Criteria Decision Making (MCDM) Methods and Concepts. *Encyclopedia*, 3(1), 77–87. <https://doi.org/10.3390/encyclopedia3010006>
- Undang-Undang Nomor 22 Tahun 2009 Tentang Lalu Lintas Dan Angkutan Jalan (2009).
- van Oort, N., & Yap, M. (2021). Innovations in the appraisal of public transport projects. *Advances in Transport Policy and Planning*, 7, 127–164. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.atpp.2020.07.008>
- Walker, M. R., O, J. A., -, S., Fang, K., Frank, D., Zhao, Y., Nur, D., Afifa, F., Rubianto, L., & M E Handayeni, K. D. (2018). Network integration modelling of feeder and BRT(bus rapid transit) to reduce the usage of private vehicles in Palembang's suburban area. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 106(1), 012072. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/106/1/012072>
- Warpani, S. (1990). *Merencanakan Sistem Perangkutan*. Institut Teknologi Bandung Press.

- Wati, E. F. (2021). The Combination of AHP (Analytic Hierarchy Process) and SAW (Simple Additive Weighting) Methods in the Selection of Business Locations. *International Journal of Information System & Technology Akreditasi*, 5(3), 323–330.
- Wei, Y., Jiang, N., Li, Z., Zheng, D., Chen, M., & Zhang, M. (2022). An Improved Ant Colony Algorithm for Urban Bus Network Optimization Based on Existing Bus Routes. *ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information*, 11(5). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijgi11050317>
- Wouda, N. A., Lan, L., & Kool, W. (2024). PyVRP: A High-Performance VRP Solver Package. *INFORMS Journal on Computing*, 36(4), 943–955. <https://doi.org/10.1287/ijoc.2023.0055>
- Wu, B., Zuo, X., Zhou, M., Wan, X., Zhao, X., & Yang, S. (2024). A Multi-Objective Ant Colony System-Based Approach to Transit Route Network Adjustment. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 25(7), 7878–7892. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TITS.2023.3348111>
- Zhang, Z., & Song, Y. (2024). Spatial Big Data and Analysis Strategies Supporting Geographic Information System for Transportation (GIS-T) in Conceptual Design, Modelling, and Decision-making: A Review. *ISPRS Annals of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*, 10(4), 461–468. <https://doi.org/10.5194/isprs-annals-X-4-2024-461-2024>
- Zhou, C. (2025). Exploring future GIS visions in the era of the scientific and technological revolution. *Information Geography*, 1(1), 100007. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infgeo.2025.100007>
- Żochowska, R., Kłos, M. J., Soczówka, P., & Pilch, M. (2022). Assessment of Accessibility of Public Transport by Using Temporal and Spatial Analysis. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 14(23). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142316127>